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This letter provides information for the public health professionals and program managers to improve the reach of public health welfare strategies by ensuring effective evaluation of the existing services.

In the global mission to improve the quality of life of people and provide health care services to all, both developed and developing nations have implemented different welfare strategies based on the local needs.\textsuperscript{1} However, these health services are highly complex and concerns have been raised to assess the quality of medical care; utilization and coverage of health services; and benefits to community health in terms of morbidity/mortality reduction and improvement in the health status of the recipients of care.\textsuperscript{2} Thus, to address all these concerns, evaluation of health strategies/policies has been recommended.\textsuperscript{2}

Evaluation is the process of assessing the extent to which results is commensurate with the pre-decided objectives.\textsuperscript{3} It should be an ongoing process as the program progresses (concurrent evaluation) and not simply an exercise to be undertaken at the end of the program (terminal evaluation).\textsuperscript{2} In fact, evaluation has emerged as one of the most significant accountability tool in the current health set-up and is a crucial dimension of decision making about the release of financial aid from policy makers in the subsequent year.\textsuperscript{2,3}

In order to ensure effective coordination between national and community-level evaluation efforts, the guidelines for performing an evaluation should be explicit and at the same time flexible enough to reflect the diversity of programmatic activities and people-specific health needs.\textsuperscript{4} Ideally the process of evaluation has to be systematically planned and should consist of the following steps, namely: identifying what has to be evaluated (viz. whether facilities/equipment/manpower meet the desired standards; in what manner various activities in a program are performed; whether the beneficiaries of the health services have experienced benefits in terms of reduction in morbidity/mortality/disability or improvement in satisfaction levels/life expectancy/quality of life; defining the standards and criteria to assess how well the desired objectives have been attained; formulating a methodology
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to conduct the evaluation; collection of the
data; analysis and interpretation of data and
giving feedback to all the involved
stakeholders; implementation of corrective
measures to support, strengthen or modify
the services involved; and finally re-
evaluation to assess the results of the
remedial steps.\textsuperscript{2,4,5}

However, to be effective, the
complete process of evaluation should
explore six different facets of a
program/health service such as:

a) relevance (refers to the appropriateness
of the service, to indicate whether the
service is needed at all),

b) adequacy (to indicate that sufficient
attention has been paid to previously
defined course of action),

c) accessibility (to estimate proportion of
general population expected to avail the
services of a specific welfare scheme),

d) acceptability (to assess whether the
provided services are acceptable to the
target population for whom services are
planned),

e) effectiveness (viz. does it work in real life
scenarios), and

f) efficiency (viz. is it the most economical
way in terms of time or money).\textsuperscript{1,2,5}

In fact, it has been proposed that a
program evaluation should be considered
right from the inception of any public health
program (viz. in the planning stage).\textsuperscript{3}
Furthermore, the process of evaluation
should not only target health care providers
and program managers but also explore the
needs of patients/families/communities to
simultaneously address their concerns and
thus bring about significant improvement in
health indicators.\textsuperscript{4,5}

To conclude, evaluation of a health
program or services is a continuous process
done to assist public health professionals in
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